

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/517,691	03/02/2000	Miek Dekeyser	Q058016	5083	
7590 12/19/2003 [ Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			SHANG, A	SHANG, ANNAN Q	
	00 Pennsylvania Ave N W ashington, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5 .			2614	9	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/517,691	DEKEYSER, MIEK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Annan Q Shang	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Oe</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		\			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of t	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/517,691

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last
 Office Action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of the action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tak-Shing P Yum (IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 39, NO. 8, AUGUST 01, 1991) in view of Rao (5,940,738) previously cited.

As to claim 1, note the **Tak-shing P Yum** reference figure 1, discloses

Hierarchical distribution of video with dynamic port allocation and further discloses a

broadcasting unit for broadcasting in an access network channels of a distributive

service to a plurality of user terminals. The claimed broadcasting unit comprising... is

met as follows: the claimed "channel selecting means..." is inherent to Local Switches K

(LS-K), note figure 1 and page 1268, col. 2, subtitle "II. System Architecture," lines 1
page 1269, note that the Central Switch (CS) broadcasts video programs and allocates

circuits for interactive video to LS-K, "broadcasting unit," where LS-K selects from

among available channels at an input of LS-K the channels to be broadcast and

"channel broadcasting means" inherent LS-K, broadcasts the selected channels to the

Application/Control Number: 09/517,691

Art Unit: 2614

subscribers in their respective regions. Yum further discloses a process whereby when the customer turns on his TV Set to select a particular program or channel with the remote control unit, the selection is transmitted to LS-K, which checks if the requested program is currently being transmitted and sends a copy to that customer, on the other hand, if the customer is the first one in the region to request for that program, LS-K sends a signal "generates second type request" indicative for the unavailable requested program or channel to CS to ask for a copy and passes it on to the customer (page 1269, col. 1, paragraph beginning "Let us first....").

Yum fails to explicitly teach elements of LS-K that handles the request processes, such as "a request receiving means," "a request handling means," "a request generating means" and "a request transmitting means," etc.

However, note **Rao** reference figure 14 discloses an architecture for distributing digital information to subscriber units where selection from among multiple digital services is accomplished by transmitting a tuning command from the subscriber unit to an intermediate interface where NVOD Server 1100 (figure 11 and col. 18, lines 37-53) includes Request Processor 1110 "request handling means..." coupled between Network Adaptation Unit 1108, "request receiving means" and Schedule 1106, "control input of channel selecting means" and Request Processor 1110 "request generating means" coupled Scheduler 1402 "request handling means" for processing various channel requests from the subscribers.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Rao into the system of Yum to control

Application/Control Number: 09/517,691

Art Unit: 2614

individual channel requests to meet the subscribers demand and offer better services to the subscribers.

As to claims 2 and 3, Yum further teaches the LS-K, sending a signal "generates second type request" indicative for the unavailable requested program or channel to CS to ask for a copy and passes it on to the customer, in accordance with a standard zapping protocol already used for the first type request information and also using a standard signaling protocol, (page 1269, col. 1, paragraph beginning "Let us first….").

Yum fails to explicitly teach "request generating means."

However Rao teaches Request Processor 1110 "request generating means" for processing various channel requests from the subscribers (figure 11 and col. 18, lines 37-53).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a request generating means for the above stated advantage in claim 1.

As to claim 4, Yum further discloses where the access network comprising a plurality of LS-Ks as defined organized in multi-level topology, note figure 1.

As to claim 5, note the **Tak-shing P Yum** reference figure 1, discloses

Hierarchical distribution of video with dynamic port allocation and further discloses

access network enabled to broadcast channels of a distributive interactive service to a

plurality of user terminals. The claimed access network comprising... is met as follows:

the claimed "first broadcasting unit..." is met by Central Switch (CS), note figure 1 and

page 1268, col. 2, subtitle "II. System Architecture," lines 1-page 1269, note that the

Central Switch (CS) broadcasts video programs within the various channels "plurality of television channels" and allocates circuits for interactive video to Local Switches (LS-K), "second broadcasting unit" located closer to a plurality of subscriber terminals within the respective regions and is supplied at the input with a limited selection of channels chosen from the plurality of television channel, when the customer turns on his TV Set to select "generates a first type of requests" a particular program or channel with the remote control unit, the selection is transmitted to LS-K, which checks if the requested program is currently being transmitted and sends a copy to that customer, on the other hand, if the customer is the first one in the region to request for that program, LS-K sends a signal "generates second type request" indicative for the unavailable requested program or channel to CS to ask for a copy or channels not within the limited selection of channels.

However, note **Rao** reference figure 14 discloses an architecture for distributing digital information to subscriber units where selection from among multiple digital services is accomplished by transmitting a tuning command from the subscriber unit to an intermediate interface where NVOD Server 1100 (figure 11 and col. 18, lines 37-53) includes Request Processor 1110 "request handling means..." coupled between Network Adaptation Unit 1108, "request receiving means" and Schedule 1106, "control input of channel selecting means" and Request Processor 1110 "request generating means" coupled Scheduler 1402 "request handling means" for processing various channel requests from the subscribers.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,691

Art Unit: 2614

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Rao into the system of Yum to control individual channel requests to meet the subscribers demand and offer better services to the subscribers.

As to claim 6, Yum further discloses where the limited selection of channels is modified based on the first type request from the user terminals, note page 1269, col. 1, paragraph beginning "Let us first...."

As to claim 7, a Yum further discloses where plurality of the LS-Ks are coupled to the CS, note figure 1

As to claim 8, Yum further discloses where the LS-K "broadcasting unit" comprises "a channel selector..." is inherent to Local Switches K (LS-K) (figure 1 and page 1268, col. 2, subtitle "II. System Architecture," lines 1-page 1269, note that the Central Switch (CS) broadcasts video programs and allocates circuits for interactive video to LS-K, where LS-K selects from among available channels at an input of LS-K channels to the subscribers in their respective regions and further handles various requests to CS.

Yum fails to explicitly teach LS-K includes "a request receiver," "a request handler," "a request generator" and "a request transmitter."

However, note **Rao** teaches NVOD Server 1100 (figure 11 and col. 18, lines 37-53) that includes Network Adaptation Unit 1108, "request receiver" Request Processor 1110 "request handler..." coupled between Network Adaptation Unit 1108, "request receiver" and Schedule 1106, "channel selector" and Request Processor 1110 "request

Page 7

generator and transmitter" coupled Scheduler 1402 "request handler" for processing various channel requests from the subscribers.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Rao into the system of Yum to control individual channel requests to meet the subscribers demand and offer better services to the subscribers.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annan Q Shang whose telephone number is 703-305-2156. The examiner can normally be reached on 700am-500pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John W Miller** can be reached on **703-305-4795**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-746-5991**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Customer Service** whose telephone number is **703-306-0377**.

Annan Q. Shang

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600